Comparison of three-dimensional and two-dimensional axisymmetric finite element analyses of a corner section of a tailings dam
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**Abstract.** This paper presents three-dimensional (3D) and two-dimensional (2D) axisymmetric finite element analyses of a corner of a tailings dam. The analyses were performed to: (i) locate tension and/or low-compression zones and evaluate slope stability during sequential raising of the dam corner, and (ii) find whether the results of 2D axisymmetric analyses could be reliable for the dam corner. The corner is a potentially weak section of the dam, where tension and/or low-compression zones may develop. Development of such zones inside the dam corner can lead to cracks and initiation of internal erosion. The results of both the 3D and 2D axisymmetric analyses indicated that (i) tension and/or low-compression zones were located in vicinity of surface of the dam corner mainly above the phreatic level, and (ii) the dam corner was stable up to the planned height if it was gradually strengthened with rockfill berms on the downstream side. The results of 2D axisymmetric analyses showed a fairly good agreement with those of 3D analyses. It is concluded that 2D axisymmetric analyses are appropriate for this dam corner. This implies that significant computational time can be saved by utilizing 2D analyses instead of 3D analyses.

© 2017 Sharif University of Technology. All rights reserved.

**1. Introduction**

A corner of the Aitik tailings dam is the subject of this study. The dam is situated in the north of Sweden. The dam corner is being raised with an upstream construction method (see e.g. [1]). The deposited tailings exert horizontal pressure on the inside of the curvature of the dam corner. This horizontal pressure is expected to increase due to additional raisings of the dam corner in future. Consequently, there may be a risk of developing tension zones and/or low-compression zones in the dam corner.

Cracks are likely to occur at tension zones and/or low-compression zones in the dam corner (see e.g. [2,3]). If the cracks appear in the transverse direction (i.e., perpendicular to the length of the dam corner), they can create a path for leakage through the embankment. Cracks in the dam corner can facilitate the process of internal erosion. The development of such cracks at internal portions of the dam corner can pose a potential risk to the stability of the dam corner.

It is pertinent to mention here a failure of the corner of the Áża tailings pond in Hungary on October
4, 2010. In this incident, 0.6 million cubic meters of a mixture of red mud and water were released. As a consequence, ten people were killed and about 120 people were injured [4]. One possible cause of this failure was development of cracks at tension zones in the corner [5].

Three-dimensional (3D) finite element analyses and two dimensional (2D) axisymmetric finite element analyses were performed on the corner of the Aitik tailings dam. These analyses were carried out to locate tension zones and/or low-compression zones and to evaluate slope stability of the dam corner. The particular aim of these analyses was to compare the results of the 2D axisymmetric analyses with those of the 3D analyses in order to find out the reliability of the 2D axisymmetric analyses.

It should be noted that there are some case studies about finite element analyses of tailings dams presented in the literature, e.g., [6-17]. To the authors’ knowledge, the validity of 2D axisymmetric analyses for a dam corner has not been addressed before.

Three-dimensional analyses are generally considered to be useful for corners of embankments (see, e.g., [18-21]). A finite element program for three-dimensional models PLAXIS 3D [22] was utilized to simulate gradual raisings of the corner of Aitik tailings dam up to a height of 76 m. The gradual raisings of the dam corner were simulated in various stages. Each stage consisted of a raising phase and a consolidation phase.

The 3D analyses of the dam corner consumed much computational time. For this reason, the dam corner was also analysed with a 2D axisymmetric finite element model in the program PLAXIS 2D [23] in order to save computational time. The 2D axisymmetric model was chosen on the assumption that the dam corner resembled a nearly circular structure. This study was specifically performed to validate the results of the 2D axisymmetric analyses.

2. The Aitik tailings dam

Aitik is a copper mine, which is located at about 15 km from Gällivare in the north of Sweden. The mine is owned by Boliden Mineral AB and is today one of the largest copper mines in Europe and the largest open pit mine in Sweden. The mining activities started in 1968. The annual production at the Aitik mine was about 34.3 million tonnes of ore in year 2012.

Tailings are the waste materials, which are produced in slurry form during extraction of minerals from the ore. At Aitik, the tailings are pumped to the disposal area, where they are discharged by spigotting from the dam embankments. Based on the particle size, the tailings in the vicinity of embankments of the Aitik dam can be classified as silty fine sands according to the European Standard EN ISO 14688-1:2002 [24] and as silty sands according to the unified soil classification system [25].

Figure 1 shows the tailings impoundment, which is spread over an area of 13 square kilometers. This impoundment consists of four dams A-B, C-D, E-F (including E-F2 extension), and G-H.

Figure 2 presents a plan view of the corner E-F/G-H, which is the subject of the study. The dam corner is being progressively raised in stages. The dam corner was 37 m high in year 2012. It is planned to raise the dam corner gradually up to a height of 76 m in year 2026. The corner ranges between the sections AA and EE (cf. Figure 2). The dam becomes almost straight after the two outer sections AA and EE. The downstream slope of the corner has been gradually flattened out from 1:6 (at sections AA and EE) to 1:12 at the central section CC. For simplification, both the 3D analyses and 2D axisymmetric analyses were performed on the corner with a downstream slope of 1:6, which gives a conservative estimate for slope stability.

Figure 1. Aerial view of Aitik tailings dam and impoundment, amended from Google images [26].

Figure 2. Plan view of the dam corner.
3. The finite element models

The finite element programs PLAXIS 3D [22] and PLAXIS 2D [23] were utilized to analyse the dam corner to identify tension zones and/or low-compression zones and to determine slope stability for gradual raisings of the dam. The 3D and 2D finite element models, construction schedule, and material properties of the dam corner are described below.

### 3.1. 3D finite element model

The region BBDD of the corner (cf. Figure 2), with some modifications, is chosen for the 3D analyses. Geometrically, this region is considered to be the most sensitive to the potential development of tension zones and/or low-compression zones compared to the other parts of the dam.

The different material zones are illustrated in the cross section of the dam corner in Figure 3. It was mentioned before that the tailings material zones 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 (cf. Figure 3) could be categorized as silty sands according to the unified soil classification system [25].

The elevation of the dam corner was +390 m in year 2012 (Figure 3). It was planned to raise the dam corner in several stages at the rates of (i) 3 m per year from level +376 m to +409 m and (ii) 2.5 m per year from level +409 m to +429 m (Figure 3). As per site conditions, every year, the raising of a particular section of the Artik dam is carried out in about 10 days and the remaining 355 days are allowed for consolidation process to take place. The sequential raisings of the dam corner were simulated in 19 stages. Each stage consisted of a raising phase over 10 days followed by a consolidation phase over 355 days.

The 3D finite element mesh of the dam corner including future raisings (up to level +429 m) is presented in Figure 4. In the finite element mesh, 10 noded tetrahedral elements are used. These elements give a second-order interpolation of displacements [22]. The 3D mesh is sufficiently refined to obtain reliable results from the analyses.

The following boundary conditions are used in the 3D finite element model of the dam corner [22]:

1. The vertical model boundaries with their normal in $x$ direction (parallel to the $yz$ plane) are fixed in $x$ direction and free in $y$ and $z$ directions;
2. The vertical model boundaries with their normal in $y$ direction (parallel to the $xz$ plane) are fixed in $y$ direction and free in $x$ and $z$ directions;
3. The vertical model boundaries with their normal neither in $x$ nor in $y$ direction are fixed in $x$ and $y$ directions and free in $z$ direction;
4. The model bottom boundary is fixed in all $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions;
5. The surface of the model is free in all $x$, $y$, and $z$ directions;
6. Groundwater flow and/or consolidation are restricted to occur across: the bottom, the left vertical boundary, the right vertical boundary, and the curved boundary between the left and right vertical boundaries (Figure 4). All the other boundaries are open where groundwater flow and/or consolidation can occur.

### 3.2. 2D finite element model

The dam corner has a complex three-dimensional geometry. For simplification, the dam corner was analysed in a two-dimensional space with an axisymmetric model, which could be used for circular structures with a nearly uniform radial cross section and load distribution around the central axis. Figure 5 shows a 2D axisymmetric model in which the $x$-coordinate represents the radius and the $y$-coordinate denotes the axial line of symmetry [27].

Figure 6 shows the 2D finite element mesh of the dam corner (up to level +429 m). The finite elements
utilized in the mesh are triangular in shape with 15 nodes. These elements give a fourth-order (quartic) interpolation for displacements [23]. The 2D mesh was also gradually refined to obtain results with sufficient accuracy.

In the 2D finite element model of the dam corner, water can flow through all boundaries except at the left vertical boundary and the base. It is assumed that horizontal displacements are zero along the left vertical boundary. There is a dense and impervious moraine layer between the bottom of the dam and the bedrock. It was estimated that the average depth of the moraine layer was about 8 m. Initially, an analysis was performed on the dam with an 8 m thick moraine foundation. From this analysis, it was concluded that the moraine deposit had only a slight influence on the safety factors and associated slip surfaces. Therefore, for convenience, it was assumed that the foundation of the dam on the moraine was rigid and the moraine layer was not included in the analysis presented in this study. However, it may be necessary to include the moraine layer in the finite element model if the dam is to be raised further in the future.

### 3.3. Material properties

The Mohr-Coulomb (MC) model was utilized to represent the constitutive behaviour of all the material zones in the dam corner. The MC model is a simple linear elastic perfectly plastic model, which requires five input parameters, i.e. Young’s modulus, Poisson’s ratio, friction angle, cohesion, and dilatancy angle. These parameters can be determined from basic soil tests [22,23]. In addition to the parameters required for the MC model, other soil parameters such as unit weight and hydraulic conductivity are also needed for the analyses [22,23].

Field and laboratory tests were performed on the tailings material to evaluate the values of the parameters: Young’s modulus, friction angle, cohesion, unit weight, and hydraulic conductivity [28,29]. On the other hand, suitable values of these parameters for other material zones (moraine, filter, and rockfill) were obtained from the following references [30-34].

Table 1 presents the above-mentioned parameters for all the material zones in the dam corner [28-34].

For each material zone of the dam corner, the value of the Poisson’s ratio is assumed to be 0.33, which is a suitable value for this type of analysis [22,23].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material zone</th>
<th>Material type</th>
<th>$\gamma_{\text{sat}}$ kN/m$^3$</th>
<th>$\gamma_{\text{wet}}$ kN/m$^3$</th>
<th>$k_w$ = $k_y$</th>
<th>$k_z$</th>
<th>$E$ kN/m$^2$</th>
<th>$c'$ kN/m$^2$</th>
<th>$\phi'$ (deg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Moraine (initial dike)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Layered sand tailings</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>9300</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Moraine (dikes)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Compacted sand tailings</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>8800</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Soft sand tailings</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Compacted sand tailings (dikes)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>7200</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7, 8</td>
<td>Layered sand tailings</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>20000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10, 11</td>
<td>Rockfill (berms + external erosion protection)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-1}$</td>
<td>40000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $\gamma_{\text{wet}}$ is the unit weight above phreatic level, $\gamma_{\text{sat}}$ is the unit weight below phreatic level, $k_w$ and $k_y$ are the hydraulic conductivity in horizontal direction, $k_z$ is the hydraulic conductivity in vertical direction, $E$ is the Young’s modulus, $c'$ is the effective cohesion, and $\phi'$ is the effective friction angle.
assumed that the angle of dilatancy is zero for all the material zones of the dam corner [22,23]. This is an appropriate assumption because the use of a positive dilatancy angle may lead to unrealistically large tensile pore pressures (suction) and the use of a negative dilatancy angle may lead to unreasonably high pore pressures [22,23].

Laboratory experiments were conducted with Permeameter to determine the hydraulic conductivity of the tailings [28]. Because of the layered nature of the tailings, it was assumed that the hydraulic conductivity values in the horizontal direction were 10 times greater than the values in the vertical direction [30].

Tailings of the Aitik dam were categorized as loose and dense based on the tip resistance of the Cone Penetration Test. The tailings were termed as loose where tip resistance was less than 2 MPa, whereas dilatant tailings exhibited tip resistance of 4-6 MPa.

For loading conditions of soils, PLAXIS manuals [27] recommend to use the secant modulus at 50% strength as the Young's modulus (Figure 7). The values of Young's moduli of different material zones were determined from drained triaxial tests as illustrated in Figure 7. The Young's modulus of soils increases with the confining pressure. The experimental range of the confining effective stress is about 450 kPa when the dam reaches the level of 429 m. The magnitude of Young's moduli for both loose and dilatant tailings material at three different values of effective confining stresses were evaluated from drained triaxial tests. Eqs. (1) and (2) [28] were obtained by curve fitting from the plotted values of Young’s moduli versus effective confining stresses:

Loose tailings \( E = 1 + 32\sigma_{c}\) MPa, \( \sigma_{c} \) is the effective confining stress in MPa.

Dilatant tailings \( E = 6 + 30\sigma_{c} \) MPa, \( \sigma_{c} \) is the effective confining stress in MPa.

Material zones 4 and 6 comprise of dense tailings; 2, 7, and 8 are the mixture of both loose and dense tailings; 5 consists of loose tailings (cf. Figure 3). For a representative value of the effective stress, a value of the Young's modulus for (i) material zone 5 was evaluated using Eq. (1), (ii) material zones 4 and 6 were evaluated using Eq. (2), and (iii) material zones 2, 7, and 8 were evaluated by taking average of the values obtained from Eqs. (1) and (2) (cf. Table 1 and Figure 3) since these material zones contained both loose and dense tailings. For the zones 2, 5, and 7, the values of Young's moduli (cf. Table 1) were kept constant until the dam level reached 409 m. On additional raisings of the dam from level +409 m to +429 m, the values of the previous Young's moduli of the tailings material zones 2, 5, and 7 (cf. Figure 3) were re-calculated because the effective stress was increased in the already deposited tailings.

![Figure 7](image1.png) Evaluation of Young’s modulus from drained triaxial test [27], with permission from PLAXIS bv, Delft, the Netherlands.

![Figure 8](image2.png) Overestimation of undrained shear strength of normally consolidated soils using the Mohr Coulomb model [27], with permission from PLAXIS bv, Delft, the Netherlands.

The new increased values of the Young’s moduli of the tailings material zones 2, 5, and 7 (cf. Table 1 for previous values of the Young’s moduli) were about 17400 kPa, 12300 kPa, and 8000 kPa, respectively.

It is to be noted that effective strength parameters were utilized in the numerical analyses presented in this paper. The MC model overestimates the undrained shear strength of normally consolidated soils (e.g., clay and peat) if the effective strength parameters are used, as illustrated in Figure 8 [27]. This is because the MC model, in a stress path diagram, gives constant mean effective stress up to failure line (Figure 8). On the other hand, for normally consolidated soils, the mean effective stress decreases significantly due to pore pressures [22,23]. Consequently, the MC model overestimates the value of deviatoric stress (Figure 8). This implies that the MC model predicts greater value of the mobilized shear strength than that of available undrained shear strength.
In order to check whether the MC model overestimates the undrained shear strength of the tailings material mentioned in this paper, the results of the undrained direct shear tests on the tailings were compared with the corresponding results simulated with the MC model and a fair agreement was observed. Hence, it can be deduced that the MC model does not overestimate the undrained shear strength of the tailings material in the analyses described in this paper.

3.4. Slope stabilization with rockfill berms
It is relevant to mention here that the downstream side of the dam corner has been gradually strengthened with rockfill berms as shown in Figure 9. The rockfill berms were placed during various raising phases to increase slope stability. The rockfill berms are marked with the capital letter R followed by a number (Figure 9). For example, R2 (Figure 9) indicates that this rockfill berm has been placed during the second raising. The volume of the rockfill berms, utilized in the present analyses of the corner, was adopted from another study performed by the authors on the straight dam section E-F [6]. The reason for using the same volume of rockfill is that both the dam parts, i.e. the dam E-F and the dam corner, are almost identical in terms of material zones, rate of raising, and time for raising and consolidation phases. For the sake of uniformity and ease of construction, it is also practical to use the same volume of rockfill in both parts of the dam.

4. Results
Coupled deformation and consolidation analyses [22, 23, 35-36] were carried out to simulate the gradual raisings of the dam corner. These analyses were conducted to estimate the development and dissipation of excess pore pressures, as a function of time, in the dam corner.

As mentioned before, the purpose of the analyses of the dam corner was to identify tension zones and/or low-compression zones where cracks and internal erosion might occur. The magnitudes of the minor effective principal stresses were used as a criterion to locate potential tension zones and/or low-compression zones. A tension zone is defined as a zone where the minor effective principal stresses are in tension. On the other hand, a low-compression zone is described as a zone where the magnitudes of the compressive minor effective principal stresses are reduced to small values near zero. It is assumed that cracks may develop in the dam corner at tension zones and/or low-compression zones.

It is also interesting to observe the directions of the minor effective principal stresses so as to know in which direction the potential cracks may develop and progress. A crack in a dam may appear in a direction roughly perpendicular to the direction of the minor effective principal stress. If minor effective principal stresses located in the longitudinal direction of the dam are of small magnitude, it is likely that cracks may develop in the transverse direction. From stability point of view, the cracks in the transverse direction of the dam are more dangerous than those in the longitudinal direction.

Analyses of safety were also performed by computing safety factors for slope stability and by observing the potential failure zones for each raising of the dam corner. The results (minor effective principal stresses and safety factors) obtained with 3D analyses and 2D axisymmetric analyses of the dam are explained and compared with each other. For the sake of brevity, the minor effective principal stresses computed from the 3D analyses and the 2D axisymmetric analyses are referred to as 3D minor effective principal stresses and 2D minor effective principal stresses, respectively. The following sign convention is used in this paper, the compressive stresses are denoted by a negative sign, and the tensile stresses are indicated with a positive sign. The sign convention adopted here is opposite to the sign convention utilized in the finite element programs PLAXIS 3D and PLAXIS 2D [22, 23].

4.1. Minor effective principal stresses
The magnitudes of 3D minor effective principal stresses and 2D minor effective principal stresses in the dam corner after the 18th raising are shown in Figures 10 and 11, respectively. The magnitudes of both the

Figure 9. Placement of rockfill berms on the downstream side to increase slope stability of the dam corner. Here the term R indicates a rockfill berm and the number specifies the raising during which the rockfill berm was placed.
Figure 10. Distribution of the magnitude of 3D minor effective principal stresses in the dam corner after the 18th raising. For visibility, the height of the figure is enlarged twice the original height.

Figure 11. Distribution of the magnitude of 2D minor effective principal stresses in the dam corner after the 18th raising. For visibility, the height of the figure is enlarged twice the original height.

3D and 2D minor effective principal stresses indicate that tension zones and/or low-compression zones are located in the vicinity of the surface of the dam corner primarily above the phreatic level (Figure 11). Internal erosion is not likely to occur through the embankments because no flow of water occurs above the phreatic level and there is a filter zone along the slope of the dam corner (see, e.g. [37]).

As expected, the magnitudes of both the 3D and 2D compressive minor effective principal stresses (Figures 10 and 11) gradually increase from the surface to the bottom of the dam. This implies that a zone located in the lower part of the dam corner is more compressed than the zones which lie above that zone. The increase in the magnitudes of both the 3D and 2D compressive minor effective principal stresses along the depth of the dam corner suggests that cracks are not likely to develop in the interior of the dam corner.

It can be observed that the distribution of magnitude of 2D minor effective principal stresses (Figure 11) closely matches the distribution of magnitude of 3D minor effective principal stresses (Figure 10).

Figure 12 illustrates the magnitude and directions of 3D minor effective principal stresses in the dam at the level of +376 m. It can be seen that 3D minor effective principal stresses are largely located in the longitudinal direction. It is to be noted that the 3D minor effective principal stresses in the whole dam body are mainly located in the longitudinal direction, except at the bottom part of the dam (from level +353 m to +361 m), where the 3D minor effective principal stresses are mainly in the transverse direction. The directions of 2D minor effective principal stresses are observed to be consistent with the directions of 3D minor effective principal stresses.

From the above results, it is deduced that transverse cracks are not likely to develop in the interior of the dam because of the high magnitude of the minor effective principal stresses at those locations. The interpretation that cracks are not likely to occur in the interior of the dam implies that there is no increased risk of internal erosion in the dam corner. The reason is that the process of internal erosion in an embankment dam is frequently associated with the development of cracks within the dam (see, e.g., [37]).

It was mentioned above that there was a close similarity between the magnitudes of the 3D minor effective principal stresses and the 2D minor effective principal stresses. However, the quantitative difference in magnitude between the 3D and 2D minor effective principal stresses was also evaluated with the help of an absolute norm and a relative norm. The absolute norm $N_{abs}$ gives the difference between the minor effective principal stresses obtained from 2D axisymmetric analyses and 3D analyses and is defined as:

$$N_{abs} = |\sigma_{3D} - \sigma_{2D}|,$$

where $\sigma_{2D}$ represents the minor effective principal stresses computed with the 2D axisymmetric analyses.
and $\sigma^{3D}_3$ symbolizes the minor effective principal stresses from the 3D analyses.

The relative norm $N_{rel}$ shows increase or decrease, in percentage, of the 2D minor effective principal stresses compared to the 3D minor effective principal stresses and is expressed as:

$$N_{rel} = (\sigma^{2D}_3 - \sigma^{3D}_3)/\sigma^{3D}_3. \quad (4)$$

If the values of the absolute norm are small, it may give impression that there is a negligible difference between the 2D and 3D minor effective principal stresses. However, the difference might be significant, if it is evaluated in percentage. In order to avoid this type of misjudgment, the relative norm is computed to quantify the difference in percentage. A drawback of the relative norm is that the norm value can be absurdly high even for small absolute deviations if the value of the 3D minor effective principal stress approaches zero (cf. Eq. (4)). Obviously, both norms are needed.

The magnitudes of the 2D minor effective principal stresses were computed at the Gauss points in the 2D finite element mesh. The Gauss points in the 2D mesh were different from those in the 3D mesh. It is necessary to compare the magnitudes of the 2D and 3D minor effective principal stresses at the same locations in the dam. Therefore, an interpolation technique was employed to compute the magnitudes of the 3D minor effective principal stresses at those points where the magnitudes of the 2D minor effective principal stresses were obtained.

The values of the absolute norm and the relative norm of the minor effective principal stresses were mainly observed in the range of $0$ to $10$ kPa and $0$ to $10\%$, respectively. For convenience, only the above-mentioned range of the values of the absolute norm and the relative norm of the minor effective principal stresses is presented here.

The absolute norm and the relative norm of the minor effective principal stresses, after 18th raising of the dam corner, are presented in Figures 13 and 14, respectively. The absolute norm (Figure 13) indicates that in comparison with the 3D minor effective principal stresses, the 2D minor effective principal stresses were (i) overestimated about $0$ to $10$ kPa in major portions of the dam, and (ii) underestimated about $0$ to $10$ kPa in remaining parts of the dam.

The relative norm (Figure 14) showed the similar trends to those of the absolute norm (Figure 13). The relative norm illustrates that in comparison with the 3D minor effective principal stresses, the 2D minor effective principal stresses were (i) about $0$-$10\%$ high in major portions of the dam, and (ii) about $0$-$10\%$ low in remaining parts of the dam.

From the norm values discussed above, it is interpreted that there is not a significant difference between the 2D and 3D minor effective principal stresses in the dam corner. It leads us to the conclusion that 2D axisymmetric analyses are suitable for this dam corner. This is an important finding, because 2D axisymmetric analyses require much less computational time than 3D analyses do.

### 4.2. Slope stability

It was described previously that the raisings of the dam corner were simulated in stages. In each stage, the dam corner was raised in 10 days followed by a consolidation period of 355 days. Moreover, the dam corner was progressively strengthened with rockfill berms on the downstream side (cf. Figure 9).

The stability of the dam corner was evaluated in terms of factors of safety. The safety factor in the finite element programs PLAXIS 3D [22] and PLAXIS 2D [23] was computed by means of a strength reduction method [38]. In this method, the strength parameters, i.e. tangent of the friction angle and the cohesion of the soil, are gradually decreased in the same proportion until failure of the structure occurs [22,23,38]. The factor of safety is defined as the ratio of available shear strength of soil to the minimum shear strength required to maintain a just equilibrium state. The factor of safety is mathematically expressed as [22]:

$$\text{Safety factor} = \frac{S_{\text{available}}}{S_{\text{needed for equilibrium}}} = \frac{c + \sigma'_n \tan \varphi}{c_r + \sigma'_n \tan \varphi_r}. \quad (5)$$

where $S$ is the shear strength; $c$ and $\varphi$ are the input values and $c_r$ and $\varphi_r$ are the reduced values of the
cohesion and friction angle of the soil, respectively; and $\sigma_n'$ is the effective normal stress. It is to be noted that the definition of the safety factor becomes the same in the strength reduction method as in conventional limiting equilibrium methods [38].

The safety factors computed with the 3D analyses and 2D axisymmetric analyses are here referred to as 3D safety factors and 2D safety factors, respectively. Figure 15 shows the 3D safety factors and the 2D safety factors for all the raising phases and associated consolidation phases. It is seen that the factors of safety increase gradually during the consolidation period due to dissipation of excess pore pressures and increase in effective stresses.

The dam corner is considered to be safe with respect to slope stability according to Swedish tailings dams’ safety guidelines document GruvRIDAS [39], which states that a safety factor of 1.5 is needed for a tailings dam to be declared as stable during normal operation conditions.

The 2D safety factors were greater than 1.5 during all the raising phases, except for the first raising where the magnitude of the safety factor was about 1.44, which was close to 1.5. This was interpreted as a sufficient stability during the first raising.

The 2D safety factors for each raising phase and the associated consolidation phase were lower than the corresponding 3D safety factors (Figure 15). This is because in a 3D analysis, finiteness and soil strength in the third dimension are taken into account and, as a result, the magnitude of the 3D safety factor is higher than that of the 2D safety factor (see, e.g., [39]). This implies that the slope stability results of the dam corner computed with the 2D axisymmetric analyses were conservative (i.e., more on the safe side) compared to the corresponding results obtained with the 3D analyses.

The possible 3D failure mechanism of the dam corner after the 18th raising is displayed in Figure 16. The most likely slip surface occurred along the light blue shape, which indicated that the slip surface was nearly symmetric in the $xz$ and $yz$ planes. It can be seen that the failure zone was deep and wide (Figure 16). The corner was not exactly symmetric; therefore, large deformations were observed in the $yz$ plane as compared to the $xz$ plane (Figure 16). It is to be noted that in slope stability analyses, it is important to observe the shape of the failure zone and it is not relevant in a strength reduction method to describe the actual magnitudes of the deformations in the failure zone [22,23].

The failure mechanism of the dam corner after the 18th raising, obtained from 3D analysis, is presented in the two-dimensional $xz$ plane (Figure 17) in order to make a comparison with the corresponding failure mechanism taken from 2D axisymmetric analysis (Figure 18). It can be observed that both the slip surfaces were almost similar in width and depth.

5. Conclusions

A corner section of a tailings dam was analysed with both a 3D finite element model and a 2D axisymmetric finite element model. The analyses were carried out
to identify tension zones and/or low-compression zones and to evaluate slope stability of the dam corner during sequential raisings. A relative comparison was presented for the results (the magnitudes and the directions of the minor effective principal stresses, and factors of safety) obtained with the 3D analyses and the 2D axi-symmetric analyses. The comparison showed that the results of the 2D axi-symmetric analyses were consistent with those of the 3D analyses.

The results of both the 3D analyses and 2D axi-symmetric analyses indicated that the tension zones and/or low-compression zones were observed in the vicinity of the surface of the dam corner, mostly above the phreatic level. It is interpreted that internal erosion is not likely to occur through the embankment because there is a filter zone along the slope of the dam corner and no flow of water occurs above the phreatic level. The results of both the 3D and 2D stability analyses showed that the dam corner could be raised safely up to a height of 76 m if the dam corner was gradually strengthened with rockfill berms on the downstream side. As expected, the safety factors computed with the 3D analyses were greater than those computed with the 2D axi-symmetric analyses.

A fairly good agreement was observed in the magnitudes and directions of the minor effective principal stresses computed with the 3D analyses and the 2D axi-symmetric analyses of the dam corner. This, together with the conservative values of the safety factors obtained, implies that the 2D axi-symmetric analyses are suitable for this particular dam corner. A significant amount of computational time can be saved by performing 2D axi-symmetric analyses of the dam corner instead of 3D analyses.

It is recommended to include a dense moraine layer as the base of the dam in the finite element model if it is intended to raise the height of the dam corner above 76 m, since the compressibility of the moraine may then have influence on the mechanical behaviour of the dam.
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